

Document Log Item

Addressing			
From		To	
"Conlan, Linda" <Linda.Conlan@amec.com>		Carmen Santos/R9/USEPA/US@EPA	
CC		BCC	
Description		Form Used: Memo	
Subject		Date/Time	
RE: PCBs: Pechiney Site		04/09/2010 03:58 PM	
# of Attachments	Total Bytes	NPM	Contributor
0	11,097		
Processing			
Comments			

Body

Document Body

Carmen;

Thank you for providing the key summary points of our call. I added just a few additional [\(notes\)](#) below.

Regards,

Linda Conlan, PG | Senior II Geologist

AMEC Geomatrix, Inc.

From: Santos.Carmen@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Santos.Carmen@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, April 05, 2010 10:12 AM
To: Conlan, Linda
Subject: PCBs: Pechiney Site
Importance: High

Hello, Linda:

Thank you for the opportunity to talk with you on Friday April 2, 2010 concerning the Pechiney site. This message memorializes some high points of our conversation. In addition, I am confirming receipt of your April 2, 2010 electronic message transmitting electronic files containing AMEC's Amendments 2 and 3 to the 2009 PCB risk-based disposal application (Application) for the Pechiney site.

During our April 2, 2010 conversation we went over some issues regarding Pechiney:

1. Soils containing PCBs at 2,000 ppm. Soils containing PCBs at 2,000 ppm are present at the site at about 20 to 21 feet bgs. AMEC is going to estimate the volume and size of the area at the site containing soils with this PCB concentration. AMEC plans on submitting a proposal on how to dispose of these

soils on-site. A colored concrete barrier may be considered. USEPA will consider AMEC / Pechiney's proposal during approval of the Application for the Pechiney site.

(On-site re-use of the crushed concrete with PCBs containing less than 5.3 mg/kg – AMEC is considering the addition of a colored dye to this material as a visual indicator of its presence, and the potential placement of a layer (0.5 to 1 foot thick) of crushed concrete containing less than 1.0 mg/kg of PCBs on top of this colored material.)

2. Land use. The land use for the site is industrial / commercial and the City of Vernon's plans do not include changes in that land use zoning. Specific immediate land uses for redevelopment of the Pechiney site (may) exclude for now the proposed power plant; and the fire training center is a lower priority for redevelopment of the site. Redevelopment of the site may include office facilities associated with commercial / industrial activity. Land uses may involve any kind of commercial / industrial use (including that which is similar to the land use of the surrounding area or former manufacturing operations).

3. Certification for Application. AMEC and Pechiney have concerns about the Certification language required by USEPA for the PCB cleanup Application given other parties different than Pechiney and AMEC have conducted investigatory and remedial work at the Pechiney site. AMEC will send a proposed modified certification language for USEPA review.

4. USEPA approval of the Pechiney Application. I have estimated about two to three weeks to complete review of the Application and Amendments. Although not discussed during our conversation, I plan on getting the approval of the Application signed and transmitted to AMEC / Pechiney by the end of April 2010. That approval is likely to be a conditional approval.

Please let me know if this message contains any inaccuracies concerning our April 2, 2010 dialogue.

Thank you for your courtesies and have a nice day.

Regards,

Carmen

Carmen D. Santos, Project Manager
RCRA Corrective Action Office
Waste Management Division
USEPA Region 9
415.972.3360
fax: 415.947.3533

The information contained in this e-mail is intended only for the individual or entity to whom it is addressed.
Its contents (including any attachments) may contain confidential and/or privileged information.
If you are not an intended recipient you must not use, disclose, disseminate, copy or print its contents.
If you receive this e-mail in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and delete and destroy the message.

